

Compatibility Report for M. and L.

Graphoanalysis is the scientific examination of a person's handwriting to determine the presence and intensity of various personality traits.

This report is based upon two separate Graphoanalyses, compared and contrasted for compatibility of the writers of the specimens provided.

Compatibility Report: M. and L.

Requested by: F. L.

Prepared by: Thomas D. Kimball, Ph.D., Master Graphoanalyst

Summary

Emotions:	Similar
Mental Processes:	Quite Different
Imagination:	Similar
Forces To Achieve:	
• Goals:	Different
• Will Power:	Similar
• Determination:	Same
• Intensifying:	More same than different
• Reductive:	Same
Fears:	09 Same, 03 different
Defenses:	25 Same, 20 different
• Adjustment:	15 Same, 09 different
• Resistance:	04 Same, 05 different
• Escape:	06 Same, 06 different
Integrity:	16 Same, 13 different
• Supportive influences:	08 Same, 06 different
• Reductive influences:	08 Same, 07 different
Social Traits:	17 Same, 12 different
• Positive Influences:	11 Same, 06 different
• Negative influences:	06 Same, 06 different
Aptitudes:	15 Same, 07 different

more...

Compatibility Report for M. and L.

Emotions

L. and M. have very similar emotional patterns — they are both very outgoing, responsive persons, quite sympathetic to the feelings of each other, and not very objective or deliberate by nature.

M. is very predictable and consistent in emotional situations, whereas L. is more flexible, adaptable, and unpredictable. Both are very impulsive, but not objective or self-oriented. Both have moderately deep emotional memories. They tend to recall situations based on their feelings, rather than their thoughts.

In high-stakes conflicts or arguments, they would be prudent to bring in an outside arbitrator who could balance their emotional reactions with cool deliberation.

Mental processes

M. has a one-track mind, which is very predictable. L. has a variety of ways to process information, and is more flexible and adaptable. He likes to investigate situations, using "tried-and-true" strategies, while she likes to explore the alternatives to action. She examines the options and analyzes the outcomes critically. He is apt to accept the first choice as the best one, without further discussion.

M. conforms to conservative codes of conduct, whereas L. is more generous and open to the opinions, ideas, and beliefs of others. Both are very loyal to their life values, although L. is starting to re-examine and re-prioritize hers at present. Both are optimistic about their future prospects. Both have good organizational ability, but M.'s is more balanced; L. is more of a doer than a planner. L. clings to her ideas tenaciously, while M. does not. M.'s achievement is characterized by rhythm, efficiency, and dependability, while L.'s is more flexible, adaptable, and varied.

Both of them suffer from impulsiveness and inattention to details, and she unconsciously hides her some of her feelings. He is more narrowminded; she is more often frequently positive and decisive. He is quite biased about what is an acceptable viewpoint, while she handles such internal conflicts by making excuses or rationalizing her position.

L.'s imagination is more limited in dealing with abstract ideas; but she is more apt to capitalize on her travels and personal contacts for useful ideas for action than he is.

Forces to achieve

M. is not a risk-taker: his goals are always practically attainable. L. has a wide variety of goals, none of them low, and all requiring some risk — she is not so concerned with the threat of failure as is M. Both have goals which seem valuable and motivating to them; neither is "lazy" or unmotivated. Both are extremely determined to see their projects through to completion, once started, and both have the quality of "stick-to-itiveness."

More...

Compatibility Report for M. and L.

L. has more initiative, some aggressiveness, and more desire to acquire things than does M. (some might interpret this as ambition). She is also more generous with her resources than M., but clings to some ideas and is more decisive in some areas than is M.

Both take pride in meeting high standards of achievement — they want to look good in the eyes of others. Both are good organizers of existing resources, but M. is a better planner than L.

Fears

M. is most afraid of risking his reputation. L. is most afraid of being ignored. Both fear loss of face from critical remarks about their personal conduct: M. is more sensitive to criticism about his work output than is L. Both are ill-at-ease with strangers at first. Both are afraid to commit themselves to a firm decision, M. more so than L.

Defenses

While M. *escapes* into the vanity of patting himself on the back, L. *escapes* her fears through her desire for variety, and by various forms of insincerity — being secretive, avoiding some issues, and deliberately falsifying the facts.

Both *resist* their fears by becoming defiant under pressure. M. also keeps threats away with his sparky temper and by showing resentment.

Each *adjusts* to fears in different ways. He is careful to cultivate only "safe" friends; she behaves in a dignified manner. He keeps a tight lip most of the time; she handles touchy subjects with humor and diplomacy. She is more open and generous toward new and different ideas; he is more conservative. Both are loyal to colleagues and established values; both strive to measure up to the acceptable standards of the dominant culture. Both keep trying in the face of possible failure.

Integrity

M. is frank and sincere in expressing himself; L. is somewhat secretive, evasive, and often rationalizes her behavior. M. is more conservative and narrowminded, while L. is more generous and broadminded. While L. has a love of dignity, she can be a real flirt. Both M. and L. want to look good, and take pride in acceptable achievements. Both maintain loyal commitments to their own values and peer groups. Both follow through on their job commitments with determination to succeed. Both are apt to compromise their standards of good conduct by acting impulsively. M. is more inclined to be prejudiced than L., who is analytical enough to figure out the unfairness. M.'s vanity may prevent him from admitting his more obvious faults.

More...

Compatibility Report for M. and L.

Social traits

M. and L. are both very sympathetic and loyal to each other. Both have high hopes about their future together. Both recognize the quality of their accomplishments in meeting expectations of others. L. is more talkative than M., and has a witty sense of humor. She is more dignified in her behavior, and shows more generosity than M.

M is occasionally selfish and impatient. It is harder to become M's close friend than L's close friend.

Aptitudes

M. is not a risk-taker; L. looks for opportunity, is more decisive, and expresses herself diplomatically. Both can efficiently allocate resources in a situation or project, but M. is a better planner, while L. is more of the doer. Both are determined to succeed, even in the face of persistent obstacles.

Neither is very mechanical, but M. has a sense of rhythm that amplifies his productive efficiency, while L. is more flexible and adaptable.

In the cultural area, L. exhibits some "showmanship" and creative tendencies, based on risk-taking and love of variety. Both manifest a basic appreciation of color, texture, tone, aroma, and taste, although M.'s is more intense than L.'s.
